

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

GARY LYNN KARL	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:17cv4
NURSE HENDERSON	§	

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Gary Lynn Karl, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court referred the case to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Karl was ordered to pay the statutory filing fee of \$400.00 or to submit an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* which was accompanied by a certified inmate trust account data sheet from an authorized official of the prison. By separate order, Karl was directed to file an amended complaint setting out a short and plain statement of his claim. Karl received copies of these orders but did not comply, instead claiming that he was going to file a judicial complaint with the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals.

The Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Karl's lawsuit be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute or to obey an order of the Court. Karl received a copy of the Report but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the District Judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal

conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.") It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 7) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to prosecute or to obey an order of the Court. Finally, it is

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

**So Ordered and Signed**

May 4, 2018



---

Ron Clark, United States District Judge